

**ANDRA 2018/2019 Rulebook Rule Submission Title:
Championship Honours Tie Decider**

SUBMISSION AUTHOR: INTERNAL

ANDRA Number:

Address:

(email):

RULEBOOK REFERENCE:

2.12: SUMMIT RACING EQUIPMENT SPORTSMAN SERIES

Australian Championship Honours Tie Decider, page 82

RULE SUBMISSION INTENT:

Clarify the intent of the Australian Championship Honours Tie Decider hierarchy.

PROPOSED ADMENDMENT:

Existing Text;

- b. Where the parties lose in the same round of racing at the final event of the Eliminator, the party who is the better performer in relation to their Class Index or Dial-In at that round, then;

Proposed Text;

- b. Where the parties **finish** in the same round of racing at the final event of the Eliminator, the party who is the better performer in relation to their Class Index or Dial-In at that round, then;

CLASSES AFFECTED:

All Group 2, Group 3 and Group 4 classes in the Summit Race Equipment Sportsman Series.

How does this rule protect the safety of participants and spectators?
Does not affect the safety of participants and spectators.
How is this rule a positive step for the sport?
This may ease understanding of the Australian Championship Honours Tie Decider hierarchy.
What is the positive impact of the rule on other classes and brackets?
This may ease understanding of the Australian Championship Honours Tie Decider hierarchy.
How does the rule ensure increased opportunity for even competition?
This may ease understanding of the Australian Championship Honours Tie Decider hierarchy.
Describe how the rule is practical and enforceable?
No change to the rule, just a clarification.
Describe how the cost of complying with the rule is reasonable for competitors?
Cost is not a factor.