Submission Title: Run Order

SUBMISSION AUTHOR: MARK BREW

ANDRA Number:



(email): proforce1@bigpond.com

BIO:

30 Years, from street to Top Alcohol, set several national records in comp & alcohol.

I have been crew chief on several successful T/A & T/D teams. Currently crew chief for Kapiris Bros Racing, who currently hold the national speed record for T/D, finished number 2 in 2011-2012 T/D championship, won the 2011-2012 Slamfest championship are currently leading the T/D championship.

RULEBOOK REFERENCE:

1.4.18 Run Order (Group One)

RULE SUBMISSION INTENT:

To reward competitors for higher qualifying position.

Current system disadvantages no 2 qualifier in that they have to run first if number one doesn't choose 1st pair (not usual for no 1 to choose first pair)

PROPOSED ADMENDMENT:

For the first round of eliminations, the top qualifier has the right to select any position in the run order.

In the event Number one fails to or declines the right, then the right moves down in order to no 4 (8 car field) in the event of a 16 car field, moves down in order to no 8

In the event no1 makes first choice, no 2 then has 2nd choice followed by no 3 (eight car field)

In the event of a 16 car field continue format from 8 car field to no 7 qualifier.

CLASSES AFFECTED:

All Group 1 classes

Does this rule protect the safety of participants and spectators?
No Change - There is no safety implication associated with this proposal
Is this rule a positive step for the sport?
Yes - This proposal is a positive step for both competitor and spectator
Is the impact of the rule on other classes and brackets a positive one?
No Change - There is no impact on other classes
Doce the rule angure increased amounturity for even commetition?
Does the rule ensure increased opportunity for even competition? Yes – It rewards competitors for higher qualifying position
105 Recording competitors for higher qualifying position
Is the rule practical and enforceable?
Yes - The proposal is both practical, makes common sense and is easily enforced
Is the cost of complying with the rule reasonable for competitors?
No Change - There is no cost involved to anybody